

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,317 06/25/2003		Peter J. Gilbert	C02-29 3150	
40990 7	590 11/09/2005		EXAM	INER
ACUSHNET COMPANY 333 BRIDGE STREET		BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER		
P. O. BOX 965			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FAIRHAVEN,	MA 02719		3711	

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/606,317	GILBERT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Stephen L. Blau	3711		

Advisory Action	10/606,317	GILBERT ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen L. Blau	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in content of the content of the reply much the r	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complining the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>As stated in the Final Office Action</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>As stated in the Final Office Action</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>As stated in the Final Office Action</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. Note the other had before a Disclosure Statement(s).			ice because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).3. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)	tabla
		STEPHEN	N BLAU
•		PRIMARY E	AANIINEM

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Replacing the word "comprises" with the word -- defines -- requires further consideration and/or searching in claim 1.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument has been considered and is not pursuasive. See Final Office Action dated 16 August 2005 for arguments why the examiner believes it is obvious to place bladders in hollow irons. The argument that it would not have been feasable to place a bladder in a 35-50 cc hollow head is disagreed with. MacKay shows the teaching of placing bladders in hollow heads. Clearly the teaching of Mackay would work for any size hollow head. The applicant has not presented an argument why it would not be feasible. The argument that the references are improper due to there not being a inflated core visible in an exterior rear portion of a head is disagreed with. The claims do not require an inflated core visible in an exterior rear portion of a head.